E 415 .9 G8 G803 1872 MAIN



B 3 538 023



Pam 2761

# Horace Greeley's Views on Virginia,

WHAT HE KNOWS ABOUT THE SOUTH—SLAVE-BREED-ING-MIXED SCHOOLS-MISCEGENATION-MAKING WAR-KANSAS AND THE SOUTH-SECTIONAL FAVORING SECESSION—LETTING "THE ERR-ING SISTERS GO"—CONFISCATION, RAPINE. AND RAVAGE—SLAVE INSURRECTIONS -SUPPORTING GENERAL BUTLER'S NEW ORLEANS ORDER—THE KU-KLUX TRIALS, &c., &c., &c.

"The American Conflict," he stated in the preface that he had made frequent and copions citations from letters and other documents, because he "could only thus present the views of political antagonists in terms which they must recognize and respect as authentic." He also declared that history is recorded in the journals of our country more fully than elsewhere. It may then be assumed that the authentic views of Mr. Greeley are to be found in the editorial columns of the New York Tribune, over which he had entire control, from the establishment of the paper, in 1851, until after his nomination at Cincinnati, and in which he invariably repudiated any statement which he was unwilling to indorse that had found its way into the columns for which he held himself responsible. The fellowing extracts from the editorial columns of the Tribune must, consequently, be regarded as accurate and fair statements of Mr. Greeley's views. Mr. Greeley appears to have had an especial antipathy toward Virginia, as displayed in articles like the fellowing:

"There stands the South-look at her!

When Mr. Greeley wrote his account of to the level of a mere negro-breeding territory, and those slaves the most valuable that have the largest mixture of the blood of the first families. Gentlemen of Virginia are now engaged in rearing mulattoes to be sold and hunted by blood-hounds as above professionally advertised. A white rufflan buys slaves within a stone's throw of Mount Vernon or Monticello for a few hundred dollars, and then further South hires them out or and then further south three them out on employs them, living on their labor, taking their earnings from them by force like a cow-ardly footpad; disporting his aristocracy at the springs in the summer, and rejoicing in some shabby title of major, colonel, or general—and this is American Democracy.

"This system, which is only upheld by one hundred and fifteen thousand slave-owners—the odd eight hundred thousand or one million of adult male whites in the South not owning slaves—must not only be ac-cepted and approved by the mechanics and laborers of the North, but we must consent and assist in its extension and perpetuation. It must be the shibboleth of all political enjoyment and aspiration; of present advantage and future glory."—From the New York Tribune of March 7, 1854:

# MIXED SOHOOLS.

Mr. Greeley's sympathy for the colored people is not to be wondered at, as it appears from the following article, addressed to the Virginia, the birthplace of Washington, sunk editor of a Virginia paper, that he went to

school with black children, sat on the same bench and recited in the same class with them:

"We have already assured the Virginian that the editor of this journal went to the same common school with black children, not for a few days, but for three winters; sat on the same bench, and recited in the same classes with them, and received no possible damage therefrom. Why not take notice of this assurance? And we know of no rural school district in New England from whose school colored children are excluded."—From the N. Y. Tribune of January 16, 1872.

# SOCIAL EQUALITY AND AMALGAMATION.

Mr. Greeley has not only advocated "equality before the law," but he has virtually arrayed himself as a champion of "social equality" and of "miscegenation," as the following extracts show. They are in plain English, and can not be misunderstood:

"A man proud of his purse may scorn a poor negro as he would a poor white man. A man systematically acquiescent in the wrongs and cruelties of society may shun a negro as he would any unpopular white. A man who has himself been underestimated, may be jealous of any attempt to do justice to others. But we must insist that all this settles nothing except our human inhumanity—except that in spite of our religious professions we do not dwell together as brethern, except that ye do not, in spite of our Bibles, believe that God has made all men of

one blood. "It is hard to decide how long this prejudice may continue to influence society; and it will probably continue to be felt long after all traces of it have disappeared from the statute books of all the States. But this thing is certainly clear—that under the Constitu-tion, in its most liberal interpretation, and admitting our cherished American doctrine of equal human rights, if a white man pleases to marry a black woman, the mere fact that she is black gives no one a right to interfere to prevent or set aside such marriage. do not say that such a union would be wise, but we do distinctly assert that society has nothing to do with the wisdom of matches. and that we shall have, to the end of the chapter, a great many foolish ones which laws are powerless to prevent. We do not say that such matches would be moral, but wo do declare that they would be infinitely more so than the promiscuous concubinage which has so long shamelessly prevailed upon the Southern plantations. If a man can so far conquer his repugnance to a black woman as to make her the mother of his children, we ask, in the name of the divine law and of decency, WHY HE SHOULD NOT MARRY HER? We are not in favor of any law compelling a Copperhead to marry a negress, unless under circumstances which might com-pel him to marry a white woman or go to prison; but we insist that if the Copperhead or anybody else is anxious to enter into such,

him, or for his fellow creatures to pronounce him a violator of the law of nature and God."— From the New York Tribune of March 16, 1864.

"If by 'amalgamation' is meant the intermingling of the white and black races, and if the question be, 'Do you consider this advisable or desirable?' our answer is, no, we do not. There seems to us a natural repulsion between whites and blacks which may indeed be overborne or defied, but which must have been implanted for some good end, and which we therefore respect and desire to see respected. There will generally arise quite enough provocations to difference in the married state without superadding this (it seems to us) natural instinctive repugnance of race. Hence, as a rule, we do not think the intermarriage of Christians with Jews advisable; nor that of Roman Catholics with Protestants; nor even that of sternly Orthodox with rationalizing Quakers, Unitarians, and Universalists.

ans, and Universalists.
"We do not say that these differences of creed are insuperable bars to marriage, but that other things being equal, it were better to seek partners for life among those with whom you have no essential difference or dis-

agreement.

"But if our correspondent means would you by law prohibit and punish intermarriages between white and black our answer must be, 'No, we would not.' Civil law has no warrant to interfere in matters of taste. We should certainly advise no white man to marry a black, but if such a couple were resolved to marry we would interpose no legal obstacle, and desire none."—From the New York Tribune of July 31, 1865.

"The Express feels bad because the Tribune discusses the question of a mixture of white and black blood, and sees no objection to treating a colored woman just as if she were white in the matter of seduction, mar-The horrible consequences of riage, &c. black and white mixture are doubtless fearful here, but down in Dixie no such qualms exist; there the breeding of a brawny and salable mulatto boy, or of a saddle-colored girl for the brothels of New Orleans, is something to brag of; and many such a boy and many such a prostitute boasts the best blood When Richard M. Johnson of the chivalry. married a negro and raised a large family by Wehave her no Democratic stomach revolted. among us in this city at this very time the mulattodaughter of Brigadior General Huger and the mulatto son of Brigadier General Withers, both the fathers being now in important commands in the rebel army—the mothers undoubtedly in slavery or the grave. We have also recently had slave children here much whiter than the editors of the Express—fair, blue-eyed children, with bills of sale in their pockets."—From the New York Tribune, March 17, 1864.

less under circumstances which might compel him to marry a white woman or go to prison; but we insist that if the Copperhead or anybody else is anxious to enter into such audiences by the Freedmen's Aid Society in union it is not for the Legislature to forbid illustration of the need of effort for the moral

and intellectual improvement of the freedmen. Several of them are well-known children of rebel generals and statesmen—not one is known or believed to have had a Republical father. And the fullest inquiry and scrutiny will demonstrate incontestably the truth that, for every white father of a colored child who sympathizes with the views of the Tribune there are at least one hundred who howl and Trash their teeth whenever this journal is named, being Copperheads on this side of the military lines

and rank rebels on the other.

This truth does not rest upon anti-slavery testimony. Whoever will read Chancellor Harper's Vindication of Slavery will find that he admits the universality of 'miscegenation' between the white young men and the colored women of the slave States. He rather glories in this as less corrupting to the young slaveholders than the illicit intercourse with lewd women which prevails in non-slaveholding communities. And a sister of President Madison once observed, 'We Southern wives are but the mistresses of semglios.' We might pile proof on proof of the general truth she there asserted; but the topic is unsavory, and the fact perfectly notorious. It is written broadly on the face of Southern society, especially in the great cities."—From the New York Tribune of March 23, 1864.

#### WHAT HE SAID ABOUT FIGHTING IN KANSAS.

Mr. Greeley had always been a professed advocate of peace, yet when the struggle was commenced for the possession of Kansas, he implored the young men of the North to go there and fight the settlers from the South.

"Let the North furnish men and money, settlers, and Sharp's rifles, and these two political assassins shall be taught the way of liberty better than they have ever yet learned that lesson. They accidentally wielded the Executive arm of the National Government that lesson. to-day, but two years hence will see these two men subsided to their original spheres the one a second-rate New Hampshire politician, the other an ambidexterous, questionable citizen of the still old Puritan town of Newburyport; their opinions and power just equal with that of any two average Yankees on the street. Indeed, that the Emigrant Aid Society, well backed, is more than a match for all the pro-slavery legislation of Congress and all the Kansas messages of the Executive to boot. But it must be well backed, and we trust its backers are aware of their elevated agency and ready for the discharge of their whole duty. Give us, then, men and money, settlers and Shurp's rifles, and let us see if private associate enterprise in behalf of liberty is not stronger than the combined rascality of every branch of the Government against it."—From the New York Tribune of February 1, 1856.

"Pour into Kansas, brave men and true, with your rifles in your hands, and range yourselves on the side of the brave men there already, who during the past winter, have done and suffered so much to maintain their 12, 1855.

rights and yours, the great right and cause of all the North-Freedom for Kansas.

"Young men full of ardor, enthusiasm, and thirst for action and glory, now is your time. This is no fillibustering expedition, of which the object is to rob others. You go only to claim your own—your own, guaranteed you by the very provisions of the Kansas Nebraska act itself—your quarter section of land and your rights as a sovereign squatter. But you go not for yourself alone, you go for us all; not merely to claim your own land, and to claim your individual rights, but as the representatives of Freedom and the Free States—to reestablish over Kansas and Missouri Prohibition, and to save the Northern States from being first deluded and cheated at Washington into accepting the Squatter Sovereignty, peing kicked out of the house by the Border Ruffians.

"As there will be no want of young men and true, with bold hearts and strong arms, to go upon this enterprise, so we trust there will be no want of money, which is at once the sinew of war and the stimulus of peaceful occupations. Those of us who are too old to go, or are detained here by indissoluble ties or other duties, can freely contribute not only to the general funds of the various emigrant aid societies, but to the private outfit of worthy men qualified to make good citizens in Kansas.

"Above all, let there be no lack of arms, and those of the most efficient sort. Plenty of arms and plenty of men to use them are the only guarantee against the massacre and expulsion before the summer is over of the free State men now in Kansas. Nothing but their Sharpe's rifles and their courage prevented the massacre and expulsion of the Lawrence men last winter."—From the New York Daily Tribune of March 7, 1856.

"But the mischief that is brewing is not alone in Kansas. There are deep-laid plots of treason to freedom consummating in Washington. The arch disunionist, Jefferson Davis, who signatized his career in the Senate of the United States by advocating an overthrow of the Government in case all of our California acquisition below 360 30" was not surrendered to Slavery by special stipulation, aspires to the post of Commander-in-Chief of the Army. He is Mr. Pierce's Secretary of War, and a leading man in the Cabinet. Should be achieve his object, all that we know of his antecedents leads us to believe that he would not hesitate to use his influence to spread Slavery in the West and North at the point of the bayonet, and if attempts were made to resist it in any effective manner, he would exert all his power to sub-vert the Government. The Free States are surrounded by plots and toils and complications, in respect to the subjugation of this Government by the slave-holders, of which the people little dream. We are approaching the crisis which will decide whether Slavery or Freedom is to mold the destines of Amer ica."-From the New York Tribune of April

TAYORS: SECESSION AND URGES PEACEFUL | PEACE. Hence we have contended that the SEPARATION.

. When the South began to speak in earnest | about Secession, and the establishment of a Southern Confederacy, Mr. Greeley did not dissuade them, but gave them encouraging "aid and comfort," as will be seen by the following extracts from his paper:

\*\*As to Secession, I have said repeatedly, and here-repeat, that, if the People of the SLAVE STATES, OR OF THE COTTON STATES ALONE, REALLY WISH TO GET OUT OF THE UNION, I AM MY FAVOR OF LETTING THEM OUT, as soon as that result can be peacefully and constitutionally attained. But their case cannot be so urgent as to require that the President and his subordinates should perjure themselves in deference to its requirements. If they will only be patient, not rush to seizing Federal forts, arsenals, arms; and sub-treasuries, but take, first, deliberately, a fair vote by ballot of their own citizens, none being coerced or intimidated. and that vote shall indicate a settled resolve TO GET OUT OF THE UNION, I WILL DO ALL I CAN TO HELP THEM OUT at an early day."—From the New York Tribune of January 24, 1861.

" What I demand is proof that the Southern People really desire separation from the Free States. Whenever assured that such is their settled wish, I SHALL JOYFULLY CO-OPERATE WITH THEM TO SECURE THE END THEY SEEK. Thus far I have had evidence of nothing but a purpose to bully and coerce the North. Many of the Secession emissaries to the Border Slave States tell the people they address that they do not really mean to dissolve the Union, but only to secure what they term their rights—in the Union. Now, as nearly all the people the Union. Now, as nearly all the people of the Slave States either are, or seem to be, in favor of this, the present menacing front of Secession proves nothing to the purpose. Maryland and Virginia have no idea of breaking up the Union, but they would both dearly like to bully the North into a compromise. Their Secession demonstrations prove just this, and nothing more."—From the New York Tribune of January 21, 1861.

"We have steadfastly affirmed and upheld Mr. Jefferson's doctrine, embodied in the Declaration of American Independence, of the Right of Revolution. We have insisted that where this right is asserted, and its exercise is properly attempted, it ought not to be necessary to subject all concerned to the woes and horrors of civil war. In other words, what one party has a right to do, another can have no right to resist. And we have urged that, had the great mass of the Southern People really desired a dissolution of the Union, and been willing to exercise a reasonable patience, their end might have been attained without devastation and carnage: for WE, with thousands more in the North.

violent, terrorist, outrageous proceedings of the Southern Jacobins—their seizure of the National forts, armories, arsenals, sub-treasuries, &c., culminating in the bombardment of Fort Sumter—were not inexcusable in themselves, but signally calculated to de-feat the end they professed to have in view. Take the case of our own Pacific Empire as a further illustration. No doubt, the People of California and Oregon are to-day loyal and fervent in their devotion to the Union. But they are mainly natives of the Atlantic or Gulf States—bone of our bone and flesh of our flesh'-and their loyalty is a matter of education, of feeling, and of habit. Fifty years hence, when our Pacific coast shall have a population of ten or twelve millions, mainly born on that slope, it will be very different. Now, should the time arrive in our day when the great body of the People of our Pacific States shall say deliberately, kindly, firmly, to those this side of the Rocky Mountains, 'You are stronger than we—older, more wealthy, more powerful—but we ask you to let us go; for we believe we can do better by ourselves than with you— WE shall respond, and urge others to respond, 'Go in peace, and Heaven's blessing attend you.' We believe that is the right, the wise, the Christian answer to such a request, and that the world will yet percieve and recognize the truth."—From the New York Tribune of May 14, 1862.

But when the North rose up in arms Mr. Greeley then asserted: "The Union can not be dissolved." He was also ferocious in his denunciations against the very people for whom he had expressed so much sympathy, as passages like the following show:

"We hold traitors responsible for the work upon which they have precipitated us, and we warn them that they must abide the full penalty. \* \* \* The rebels of that State Virginia) and Maryland may not flatter themselves that they can enter upon a war against the Government and afterwards return to quite and peaceful homes. They choose to play the part of traitors, and they must suffer the penalty. The worn-out race of emasculated first families must give place to sturdier people, whose pioneers are now on their way to Washington, at this moment, in regiments. An allotment of land in Vir-ginia would be a fitting reward to the brave fellows who have gone to fight their country's battles."-From the New York Tribune of April 23, 1861.

"But nevertheless we mean to conquer them-not merely to defeat, but to conquer, to subjugate them-and we shall do this the most mercifully the more speedily we do it. But when the rebellious traitors are overwhelmed in the field and scattered like leaves before an angry wind, it must not be to return to peaceful and contented home. They must find poverty at their firesides, and see WOULD HAVE DONE ALL IN OUR POWER TO Privation in the anxious eyes of mothers and incline our fellow-citizens to defer the rags of children."—From the New York TO THEIR REQUEST AND LET THEM GO IN Tribune of May 1, 1861.

# SLAVE INSURRECTIONS-JOHN BROWN.

Mr. Greekey had indirectly sympathized with John Brown in his attempt to organize a negro insurrection at Harper's Ferry, and after the breaking out of hostilities he was evidently confident that the bloody scenes of San Domingo were to be repeated throughout the South:

"The Insurrection, so called, at Harper's Old Brown of Osa-Ferry, proves a verity. watamie, who was last heard of on his way from Missouri to Canada with a band of runaway slaves, now turns up in Virginia, where he seems to have been for some months, plotting and preparing for a general stam-pede of slaves. How he came to be in Harper's Ferry, and in possession of the United States Armory, i mot yet clear; but he was probably betrayed or exposed, and seized the Armory as a place of security until he could safely get away. The whole affair seems the work of a madman; but John Brown has so often looked death seronely in the face that what seems madness to others doubtless wore a different aspect to him."-From the New York Tribune of October 19, 1859.

### DENMARK VESSEY.

"The narrative of Denmark Vessey's Insurrection in South Carolina, nearly forty years ago, which we publish this morning, has at this time a peculiar interest. Not a paper comes to us from the South in which we do not find anxious endeavors to inculcate the conviction that the slaves are trustworthy, satisfied with their lot, ready to take arms in defense of the system beneath which they languish in bondage. Their masters declare that the enthusiasm of their human property has to be restrained, and that only the necessities of home labor prevent them from sending to the war every abie-bodied slave they possess. Meanwhile, they organize strong guards, keep eyer a sleepless eye on the movements of the negroes, and punish with more than ordinary cruelty the smallest offenses against the harsh rules of the plantation.

"The strange history of the insurrection referred to is fulf of suggestions which show to the people of the South quite as clearly as to us at the North how hollow and false is all the boasted confidence the former express, and what an appalling danger lies always in wait at the threshold of the slaveholder. If there were ever negroes who could be trusted by their masters, those engaged with Vessey in his conspiracy were they. The event showed that natural cunning, sharpened by an unconquerable and overpowering longing for freedom, was there, as it is now, more than a match for the vigilance of the overseer, and that a seeming affection was with them but a

cloak for concealing plots of direst vengeance.

"The system of slavery—ever accursed—has not improved in these forty years. The hand of the taskmaster has not grown lighter, nor are the bonds worn with greater ease. The nature of the slave changes not, nor

for freedom diminish in force as the years of toil run on. The dark storm-cloud hangs today over the South more awful in its blackness than ever before, and the moment of its' terrible descent draws nearer with each development in the rapid course of passing events. The slave-holder, whether on the plantation or in the populous city, knows this well, and writhes beneath the knowledge with a dreary anxiety which no bravado can-conceal. If the tempest does not break in-frightful power it will be only because an arm mightier than the arm of man is out-stretched to restrain it."—From the New York Tribune of May 21, 1861.

#### EFFECT OF EMANCIPATION.

"There are three and a half millions of slaves and half million of free blacks in the rebel States. Here are four of the nine millions now ruled by Jeff. Davis—is it, can it be, pretended that these will be set against us by the proclamation of freedom? Surely

"But the whites of the South, it is said, will hate and fight us worse than they have done. How can they? It was not this policy which impelled to the slaughter of the Massachusetts volunteers in the etreets of Baltimore. It was not this policy which led the rebel soldiery encamped at Bull Run last winter to make rings and other trinkets of the bones of our slaughtered brethren, dug up for the purpose. It was not this which induced the rebels in Arkansas to shoot our scalded and shricking soldiers in White River, disabled and mortally hurt by the explosion of the steam-chest of their vessel by a cannon shot. Nor was it the policy which sent John Bell, Alex. H. Stephens, Thomas A. R. Nelson, and so many other vehement Unionists of two years since over to the rebellion, and silenced all open repugnance to Disunion in the revolted States."—From the New York Daily Tribune, January 1, 1863.

#### RECALLING SAN DOMINGO.

"It has been estimated that in fifty years the extreme Southern States will contain a vast population of slaves, far exceeding the, whites who own them. How does any man suppose that these dozen million or so of slaves can be kept in subjection under such circumstances? It is folly to think of it. They will then have gained a vast addition to their present average of intelligence; the dangerous admixture of white blood will be infused among them in greater proportion, and not all the troops that can be raised and brought to the field will be sufficient to sub-due them. On this head read the lesson of St. Domingo. When the blacks there rose upon their masters the proportion between the two was as 500,000 to 50,000. The whites were driven from the country with horrible cruelties, the natural revenge of a servile and oppressed race. Powerful armies were sent against these revolted slaves, millions upon millions were spent for their subjugation; but in vain. A Negro State now occupies does the instinctive, God-imparted craying the lovellest and most fertile of the Antilles;

and by a natural sentiment of jealousy, no white is permitted to become a citizen of the

country.

"A similar fate awaits the southern extremity of the United States unless the whites are wise betimes. There is no alternative between emancipation under some form and a servile revolt. Sooner or later it must come, and let these supporters of slavery who are most competent judge whether half a century is too soon for its arrival.

'Free the blacks, or in time they will terribly free themselves. Men cannot be made chattels forever—it is unsafe to suppose it. The negroes of South Carolina and Mississippi may be docile and submissive now, but they will not be so always. That is a fatal delusion that cannot be too soon abandoned."

From the New York Tribune of Man 8.

## MAKING ALLIES.

"Four Millions of sturdy bondmen, nearly all residents of the Rebel States, stand waiting and wondering what is to be their part in this contest, what their advantage therefrom. They form the majority of the people of South Carolina and nearly or quite a majority of those of the several other revolted States. They are about one-third of the population of Jeff. Davis' dominion. Their interest in the struggle is practical-very practical indeed. They want many things, but, before all else, LIBERTY. They are willing to work for it, run for it, fight for it, die for it. There can not be a rational doubt of the ability of the Government to onlist the sympathies and the efforts of these Four Millions of Jeff's subjects on the side of the Union by simply promising them Freedom. Talk of confiscation does not move them, for it involves the idea of-to their minds, at least-of deportation and sale to new masters. Talk of confiscating, or even freeing, those only who have been employed in the rebel armies, does not much effect them; for it seems partial, timid, and selfish. But say to them that all whose masters are involved in the rebellion shall be Free, and they will feel that their day is at length dawning. They will not hasten to throw away their lives by mad, senseless insurrections; but they will watch for opportunities to oscape and come within our lines, bringing information certainly, and perhaps arms or other material aid. bare fact that their slaves are watching their chances to get away and over to the Union side, will immensely weaken the rebels."—
From the New York Tribune of December 11, 1861.

# SUSTAINING BUTLER.

Mr. Greeley appeared to entertain an esspecial antipathy against the ladies of the South, and when General Butler's New Orleans order was made the subject of general comment at home and abroad, it was thus defended in the *Tribune*:

"Jeff. Davis has said, in a proclamation, that 'the soldiers of the United States have been invited and encouraged in general

orders to insult and outrage the wives, the

mothers, and the sisters of our citizens.'
"This is a very wicked falsehood. It was by the 'wives,' 'mothers,' and 'sisters' aforesaid that the insults were given; it was by the 'soldiers of the United States' that they were received. No single instance is given in which a woman in Louisiana has been wantonly insulted by a Union soldier. But it was a part of the regular tactics of the secssionists of New Orleans to incite their women to insult our unoffending soldiers there by every kind of contemptuous, provoking grimace, jeen, and gesture, trusting to their petticoats for impunity. When he had borne quite enough of this General Butler brought it to a sudden and full stop by the following order:

"'HEADQ'RS DEPARTMENT OF THE GULF,
"May 15, 1862.

"'As the officers and the soldiers of the United States have been subject to repeated insults from women calling themselves 'Ladies of New Orleans,' in return for the most scrupulous non-interference and courtesy on our part, it is ordered thereafter when any female shall, by word, gesture, or movement, insult or show contempt for any officer or soldier of the United States, she shall be regarded and held liable to be treated as a woman of the town plying her vocation.

"By command of MAJ, GEN. BUTLER.

"GEO. C. STRONG, A. A. G.

"We hold this order most righteous, timely, and wise. The woman who seeks to attract special attention in public of men who are utter strangers to her fixes her own position. General Butler did but state truly what that position is. If a rebel army should occupy this city, and our own women did not refrain from hissing, flouting, and spitting at the soldiers, we would justify their General in issuing just such a proclamation as General Butler's. No human being has been harmed in mind, body, or estate by it, and the abuse at which it was aimed was wholly and instantly corrected by it. All that Jeff. really has to complain of is that his women can no longer insult our soldiers with impunity."

From the New York Tribune of December 29,

A Paris correspondent of the *Tribune*, who had expressed the public sentiment of Europe concerning General Butler's order, was thus rebuked:

"It is a curious instance of how much a man of sound common sense may be biased by a popular clamor to see on how wide a tangent our lively Paris correspondent flies off at the mere mention of General Butler's preclamation to the ill-bred women of New Orleans. He, in common with all indignant members of Parliament and the English press, is determined to believe that General Butler's intention was to give official notice of the arrival of that mement auxiously inquired for by one of the ladies in Don Juan when the whole city was to be given up to extremest license. We are not surprised at this

in English journals; indeed, their malice is not capable of any invention that can astonish us; and we do not doubt, as they insist upon it we are under the dominion of moblaw, that the President's late visit to West Point, when heard of in Europe, will be represented as necessarily made in secret to escape assassination. Should our Paris correspondent lend a willing ear to a calumny so outrageous as this, and yet so likely to be made, it would hardly surprise us more than that he should be the dupe of the silly outery against General Butler."—From the New York Tribuna, June 27, 1862.

# SECOND SOBER THOUGHT.

Years afterward Mr. Greeley's "sober second thought" approved General Butler's order in the following editorial articles:

"One thing, however, we confess, surprises If the little dogs consider General Butler to be the most contemptible of mankind, it is very strange that by their conspicuous and constant enmity they should insist upon elevating him to a position of first-rate public importance. Some of the gentle-voiced damsels of the South who thought that a nation could be scolded into existence and perpetuity, nick named General Butler 'the Beast;' and if they found any satisfaction in this spirit of feminine vehemence, we dare say the General had no objection to their amusing themselves in their own natural way at a time when restraint upon their tongues might have resulted in mortal inward agitations. It is true that the sensitive creatures were not allowed to insult Union soldiers in the street, but how they must have chattered and chided in the privacy of the bondoir! How particular they must have grown in their zoological classification, selecting those animals which were their pet aversions, or which they regarded with respectful timidity, and applying their names unfortunate major general! to the shouldn't wonder if in this way poor Butler was sometimes likened to a rhinocerous, or perhaps a hippopotamus! Fortunately, if these indignities were ever inflicted, they did not come to his ears. They might have broken his heart.

"The Government confided to General Butler a somewhat thankless task-the restoration of law and order in a city not particularly law-abiding and orderly in the best of times, and at that critical momentfull of desperate adventurers and turbulent rufflans, who, for months, had been unchecked in their carcer of licentiousness and brutal audacity. The service was undertaken, and no man can say without falsehood that it was not performed with all possible consideration for the feelings of the peaceable citizens, and without any consideration whatever for the feelings of the openly traitorous, the secretly knavish, and the impudently violent. It was impossible for General Butler to please those who persisted in calling themselves 'a con-quered people.' It was equally impossible for him to please those Northern sympathizers who were sorry that New Orleans had fallen into our hands at all."—From the New York Daily Tribune, August 28, 1868.

"The hearty, emphatic good will wherewith General Butler is regarded by the great mass of the loyal upholders of our country's integrity in her late struggle, rests on very intelligible grounds. They like him for reaintelligible grounds. They like him for reasons identical with those which impel the rebels and pro-rebels to hate him so intensely. Though his military career was not in all respects brilliant, and though a part of it subjected him to the unflattering criticism of General Grant, it is certain that the expedition to Ship Island and New Orleans was substantially projected and executed by him, and that its success gave the Rebellion the heaviest and most damaging blow that it received during the first two years of the war. In wresting irrevocably from the Confederacy its most wealthy and populous city, its commercial focus and storehouse, General Butler did it greater material and moral damage than it received at Donelson, Shiloh, Antietam, or Murfreesborough.

"But it is not the material value of his military services that has most commended the elder of the Massachusetts major generals to the popular heart. The masses recognize and admire in him the first leader of our forces who evinced a clear comprehension of the nature and animus of the rebellion, and the ability and will to deal with them as they deserved. Up to the outbreak of this war General Butler had, through life, been the political intimate and ally of the Chivalry," and understood them like a brother. He comprehended from the start that their preposterous assumptions of social superiority must be met at the threshold, and utterly

defied and trampled on.

"His polite but firm refusal at an early day to return Major Cary's fugitive negroes, on the just and solid ground that they were 'contraband of war,' like horses or intrenching tools, showed him the man for the occasion. His stern dealings with the New Orleans gambler who tore down the American flag after it had been hoisted by our forces over a city fairly wou by their valor, and his famous 'Order No. 28,' advising the she-secesh of that city that they could no longer wantonly insult our soldiers with impunity, were moral victories for the Union arms of signal value and promise. They made plain to the most stolid apprehension the fact that territory fairly recovered. from the rebellion was no longer a part of the Confederacy, and could not be used for the prosecution of its warfare, at least while under the command of General Butunder the command of General But-ler. That the Rebels should hiss and howl, foam and rave whenever and wherever they might safely do so, was a matter of course; that they should accuse the man they so detested of stealing the spoons they never had, was paltry, if you will, but very human. Well might they set a price on could not match—a head illuminated by eyes the head so prolific of devices which they which they could execrate and carlesture as malformed and hideous, but which they could not curse into blindness to any of their traitorous plots or contrivances."—From the New York Tribune, November 6, 1868.

"To 'love rum and hate niggers' hasso fong been the essence of the Democratic faith that the cooler, wiser heads of the party vainly spend their strength in efforts to lift it out of the rut in which they plainly see that it can only run to perdition. While Slavery dured negro hate was an element of positive estrength in our political contests, so that the Constitutional Conventions of this and other free States were usually carried by the Democrats on the strength of appeals to the coarser and baser whites to 'let the nigger know hisplace.'"—Tribune, April 7, 1871.

Mr. Greeley was an early denunciator of the Kuklux demonstrations, and while urging their suppression by martial law, he did not lose sight of his Protection theory.

#### THE KUKLUX KLAN.

"The present Kuklux demonstrations at the South are simply a mere cowardly phase of the Rebellion. They are a fulfillment of the Rebel menace that the civil war could and should be prosecuted for twenty years after the overthrow and dispersion of the Rebel armies. Its object is to 'let the nigger know his place, which, now as ever, in the Rebel conception, is under the heel of the

white man.

"Until this skulking warfare with masks, instead of banuers and torches in place of grenades, shall have been somehow terminated, the Republican party can not change ats attitude, nor can it give that attention and emphasis to questions of political economy and finance which the public good imperatively requires. Pledged by all its glorious past to inflexible and paramount fidelity to the rights of man, it can not while these are assailed and imperiled devote much attention to the policy of raising or lowering the imposts now payable on the importation of iron, or fabrics, or sugar. And, in the absence of such attention, there is great danger that unwise and injurious changes in the tariff may be made, which, if their nature and bearings were fully understood. would be condemned and defeated."-From the New York Tribune of March 11, 1871.

THE KUKLUX AND THE COMING ELECTION.

"That men are daily killed throughout most of the Southern States, because they are Republicans, is just as sure as the fact that those States were lately the arena of a great civil war. There has been not less than five thousand negroes killed-because of their color and their polities in these States since General Grant's election; and not one white Southron has been punished for such murder. Nay, the brutal murderer of a white military officer at Vicksburg, Miss., walks the streets of that city as freely and proudly as though he were the hero of some great Confederate victory.

"Gentlemen opposite! we respectfully warn you that you are making up a record that will expose you to a fearful judgment in the next Presidential election. The people of the United States do not believe in whole-

HOW HE SLANDERED SOUTHERN DEMOCRATS. | Sale assassination as a political maneuver and will uphold no party that resorts to it. You may carry most of the intervening elections, when the issue is not distinctly and vigorously pressed home upon the masses; but, when we come to 1872, you will assuredly be beaten by the votes of men who are not politicians and are now not voting at all. We shall only have to drive home the facts which prove your complicity in the crimes now convulsing the South, and you will inevitably go under. If you succeed in defeating legislation to protect the loyal men of the South from the crimes to which they are now exposed and subjected, your fourth successive discomfiture in a Presidential struggle will be signal and conclusive."-From the New York Tribune of March 14, 1871.

> Mr. Greeley continued up to the spring of the present year to urge the punishment of those who had been arrested by the Federal authorities as connected with the Kuklux demonstrations. The following article is but one of many of a similar import:

> "The Kuklux trials which have just been concluded at Columbia, S. O., reveal a social condition in that State, which shows how low down are still the poor whites, whose poverty and ignorance were part of the slave system. These trials showed too, how the vindictive hatred of freedom etill clings to the higher classes of the South, and how easy it is for the ex-slaveholder to turn his oppression of the black man against the low class which befriended him or hesitates to join in organized society to drive him out. Nobody can say that these trials have not been fairly conducted. The prisoners were defended by such eminent legal counsel as the Hon. Henry Stanbery, ex-Attorney General of the United States, and the Hon. Reverdy Johnson. But the testimony brought out overwhelmed all argument, and fortyseven of these wretches confessed their crimes in open court, six others were convicted, and seventy-two indictments, embracing over five hundred persons, were found. The story of brutality, crime, violence, and moral degradation made up from the revelations of the witnesses is too revolting for, recital; it is a dark chapter in the history civilization; it is a burning disgrace to the party which organized the conspiracy, aided and abetted its agents, and did its best to suppress the evidence now published to the world."-From the Now York Tribuns of January 10, 1872.

So might the record be continued through almost countless pages of the same sort of innuendo, accusation, slander, and libel. These things establish the aptness of an epigram once launched against him by one, now a leading admirer, which runs in this wise-"HORACE GREELEY,

FOUNDER OF THE NEW YORK TRIBUNE, FIRST IN PEACE; LAST IN WAR; AND LEAST IN THE HEARTS OF HIS COUNTRYMEN."



RETURN TO the circulation desk of any
University of California Library
or to the

NORTHERN REGIONAL LIBRARY FACILI' Bldg. 400, Richmond Field Station University of California Richmond, CA 94804-4698

ALL BOOKS MAY BE RECALLED AFTER 7 [ 2-month loans may be renewed by calling (415) 642-6753

1-year loans may be recharged by bringing b

Renewals and recharges may be made 4 prior to due date

# DUE AS STAMPED BELOW

JAN 0 2 1993

REGID D. 1 YOU

JAN 14 1994

CIVED

MAY 1 2 2003

